

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/816,004	03/22/2001	Masakazu Suzuoki	SCEI 3.0-054	5183	
530	7590 12/07/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			MANIWANG, JOSEPH R		
	Z & MENTLIK AVENUE WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090		•	2144		
			DATE MAILED: 12/07/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/816,004	SUZUOKI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Joseph R. Maniwang	2144		

	Joseph R. Maniwang	2144	9
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orightan three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, [a] They raise new issues that would require further composed (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belom (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a second content of the proposed content	nsideration and/or search (see NC w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		÷	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>28-45</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>4</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-8,10-18,20-27,46,48-55 and 57-6</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .	vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	•		
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	n condition for allowar	nce because:
	SUPERI	WILLIAM VAUGHN ASORY PATENT EXAM	INER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments regarding the rejected claims under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Breslau (U.S. Pat. No. 6,421,736) are not persuasive. Applicant generally asserts that Breslau does not teach a software cell comprising "information for routing the software cell over the network". Examiner maintains the position set for in the Final Rejection and submits that Breslau clearly teaches such a feature since routing based on the identifier of the object (see column 8, lines 4-54) was possible, each software cell therefore including information (i.e., identifier) for routing the cell over the network as broadly claimed.

WILLIAM VAUGHN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

2